



Patent #8  
T.D.  
12/04/03  
Attorney Docket No. 2230

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

IN RE APPLICATION OF: YOUNG ET AL.  
APPLICATION NO.: 09/599,156  
FILED: JUNE 21, 2000  
FOR: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR REMOTE  
CLIENT INSTALLATION

EXAMINER: GROSS,  
KENNETH A  
ART UNIT: 2122  
CONF. NO: 6933

**Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

**RECEIVED**

DEC 03 2003

Technology Center 2100

To: Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a reply under 37 CFR § 1.116 in response to a final Office Action dated May 23, 2003. Please consider the following remarks, which Applicants submit place the case either in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. Applicants further submit that these remarks do not raise any new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

In the subject application, Claims 1-51 are pending. Claims 1-35, and 37-51 stand rejected. Claim 36 is objected to. Claims 1, 16 and 42 are currently amended.

Applicants thank the Examiner for his telephonic interview on October 8, 2003 in which the cited prior art was discussed in relation to Applicants' claims.

The remarks incorporate Applicants' position during the interview, generally that neither the Luu reference nor the May reference teaches or suggests a number of recited limitations, including the installation service as claimed by Applicants.